

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
	01/16/2002	Larry Mobraaten	03887.0002.NPUS00	PUS00 1758		
7590	11/05/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SIMON	ARNOLD & WHI	SHUKLA, RAM R				
	A 175		ARTINIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1632	TAPER NOMBER		
	7590 SIMON	01/16/2002 7590 11/05/2003	01/16/2002 Larry Mobraaten 7590 11/05/2003 SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP NSWOOD AVE.	01/16/2002         Larry Mobraaten         03887,0002.NPUS00           7590         11/05/2003         EXAM           SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP         SHUKLA           NSWOOD AVE.         ART UNIT		

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A		A = 11 = = 41 = 3	
	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	10/051,956		MOBRAATEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
7	Ram R. Shukla		1632	<u> </u>
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover	she t with the co	errespondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory mining will apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be time mum of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. ne mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi	is action is non-fin	ıal.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i> Disposition of Claims				e merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		tion.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requireme	ent.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep	ted or b) 🔲 objecte	d to by the Exam	niner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			red by the Examine	r.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		on.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioring</li> <li>application from the International Bur</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prioring</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).		Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional	application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •			
Attachment(s)	-	- *		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	•	PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTO	

Application/Control Number: 10/051,956 Page 2

Art Unit: 1632

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-28 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.

121:

- I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a method of producing non-human mammal,classified in class 800, subclass 21.
- II. Claims 14-21, drawn to a method of producing an oocyte with reduced zona pellucida layer, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- III. Claims 22-28, drawn to an oocyte with reduced zona pellucida layer, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- 3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not

Art Unit: 1632

commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of the groups II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the method comprises decreasing the thickness of the zona pellucida of a non-human mammalian oocyte by treating the oocyte with tyrode solution or changing pH or proteinase treatment. These treatments are used for cell washing and cell culture. The product, an oocyte with reduced zona pellucida can be produced by treating it with laser. Therefore, the inventions of the groups II and III are patentably distinct.

5. Inventions of the group I is patentably distinct from that of groups II and III because the invention of group I is drawn to a method of producing a non-human mammal, which will require different search in the patent and non-patent literature and is classified in a different class and subclass. Additionally, the search for the inventions will not be co-extensive.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,956 Page 4

Art Unit: 1632

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art shown by their different classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, and because each invention requires a separate, non-coextensive search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ram R. Shukla whose telephone number is (703) 305-1677. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached on (703) 305-4051. The fax phone number for TC 1600 is (703) 703-872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature, formal matters or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the William Phillips whose telephone number is (703) 305-3413.

Ram R. Shukla, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1632